UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,725	06/28/2006	Mauro Gelli	6729/PCT	8558
	7590 11/01/201 REINER, L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3201	.60	KIM, SANG K		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-0160			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/581,725	GELLI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SANG KIM	3654		

	SANG KIM	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavial (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extraction extractional extraction	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cauco			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or	orroppeding number of finelly rais	acted alaima				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number or imany reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Col	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant / tinonamont (i	102 02+).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 67-75,86,87,110-117 and 124. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 76-85,88-109,118-	. <u>123 and 125-128</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/SANG KIM/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3654				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Cont. of 3. The limitation added to claims 67 and 110, regarding the arrangement of the interruption member with respect to the feed member and the rolling surface, is an example of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search. Note, applicant is advised to look at U.S. Patent No. 5137225, with respect to the suction member arranged opposite from the rolling surface.